



December 17, 2009

Chairman Graham and Members of the Board:

I am pleased to present you with the Riders' Advisory Council report for December 2009.

WMATA Partners Panel Discussion

At its December meeting, the Council hosted a panel discussion featuring Craig Simpson, from ATU Local 689; Matt Bassett, Virginia representative to the Tri-State Oversight Committee (TOC); and Helen Lew, WMATA Inspector General. The three panelists briefly described the missions of their organizations and how they interact with WMATA. As you may expect, many of the questions revolved around safety.

Mr. Bassett described the structure of the TOC, highlighting the interjurisdictional nature of the body. He did mention that the TOC is leanly staffed, although hoping to see more staffing in the near future. He also mentioned that the TOC is developing a website and determining how to share information with the public. He shared that the TOC plans to share the minutes of its meetings on its website, and is considering holding public forums to share information with the public. In response to questions, he did say that the lack of any right to access to the tracks was a challenge, and was appreciative of the Board's recent efforts in this area. Again in response to questions, he conveyed that there is neither FTA or TOC has any enforcement authority specific to a particular rail transit system, and, in fact, WMATA funding could be at risk if a rail transit system elsewhere in Maryland or Virginia experienced safety violations. He also compared the TOC's resources to those of other State Safety Organizations.

Mr. Simpson described ATU Local 689's range of activity, relationship to other WMATA unions, and some of their current goals. He mentioned that the Local 689 is refocusing on safety following recent events.

Ms. Lew, as the first WMATA Inspector General, described the changing role of her office—from Auditor to Inspector General—and the breadth of the work her office conducts. In response to questions, she mentioned that her office has helped WMATA achieve \$30 million in savings in the 2.5 years since her position was created.

Budget Options

The Council approved a letter memorializing our concerns and suggestions for this year's budget discussion. While we realize that technical or practical considerations may make it prohibitive to enact some of these suggestions for Fiscal 2011—for instance, it is not clear that the SmarTrip program will have the capability of carrying three-tiered fare systems or pass products by July 2010—these are nonetheless options the R.A.C. believes that WMATA should consider and analyze and take preliminary steps to investigate. The Board Office distributed the letter last week and a copy is attached here as well for your convenience.

Bus Priority Corridor Network

The Council also approved a letter to area local governments requesting they do their part to support the Bus Priority Corridor Network. As we have discussed before, many of the pieces necessary to promote efficient bus service are outside of WMATA's control. We hope this letter will encourage local governments to work with WMATA to make bus service throughout the region as effective as possible.

Bylaw Amendments

The Council also enacted a series of Bylaw amendments which have already been forwarded to the Board. We hope that you will take action today on the amendments. The Council requested that the Board enact today any amendments that the Board agrees with. If there are some amendments that the Board would like to further consider, the Council urged me to make ourselves available to the Board to discuss why we felt these changes are important.

Concerns of Mr. Michael Sindram

Mr. Michael Sindram, who spoke during the public comment period at the November Board meeting, also shared his letters with me and asked me to provide assistance to him in my role as Chair of the R.A.C. I passed on Mr. Sindram's letters to Ms. Lew's office and to Patrick Sheehan, the Chair of the AAC and At-Large Member of the R.A.C. as well as all Members of the R.A.C. in attendance at our December 2 meeting.

Comings and Goings

The Council says goodbye to one of our founding Members, Susan Holland, Prince George's County.

This is the last Board meeting of 2009, and as such the last Board meeting of my term. It has been a challenging year for everyone involved in WMATA, I believe we have worked together to make this system better, and will continue to do so.

Thank you very much for you time. I am happy to answer any questions you may have.

Diana Zinkl, JD/MCP Chair, WMATA Riders' Advisory Council





December 8, 2009

Chairman Graham and Members of the Board:

Building on WMATA's recent budget town meetings concerning its FY2011 budget, the Metro Riders' Advisory Council (R.A.C.) would like to provide our initial thoughts on the budget development along with possible suggestions.

In our April 23, 2009 letter on the FY2010 public hearing process and proposed service cuts, this Council stated that the Board "should have presented the public with a full slate of budgetary options, including fare increases, rail service adjustments, and parking rate increases, rather than just asking for public input on a pre-selected list of cuts." As we begin the discussion on the FY2011 budget, we stand by that statement. We would also like to reiterate our March 2009 resolution on Service Adjustments that emphasizes the importance of maintaining basic transportation, safety, equity, alternatives within transit, and communication with the public in any service adjustments.

This Council strongly encourages the Board to present a list of clear and specific alternatives for the FY2011 budget, which give the public an opportunity to debate multiple options at any public hearings. These options should collectively total more than the FY2011 budget gap, to allow for public debate about which to implement and which to table. Meaningful public involvement in this process requires dialogue, debate, and detailed options that riders can comment on, rather than a single option that will likely draw widespread opposition.

We hope that the Board will put forward as many options as possible for public comment. Nothing should be taken off the table prior to analysis by Metro staff and opportunity for feedback by riders. This Council strongly feels that Metro should take a long-range approach to achieving a stable budget for FY2011 and future years to avoid the disruption and uncertainty experienced in FY2010.

A public hearing process that includes numerous budgetary alternatives for FY2011 and beyond is jointly beneficial. The public would be able to collectively weigh the cost and benefits of each individual proposal to cut costs or increase fares – no matter how small – and then comment on them in a substantial way. The Board would, in turn, have greater flexibility in making a final decision that accurately represents the will of the riding public.

We are confident that this approach – with specific fare, fee and budget options made publicly available for consideration by the Board and riders – will enhance the dialogue between the Authority, Board, and riders, and will improve the decision making power of the Board. This Council is well aware that there are many roads leading to a final FY2011 budget; we look

forward to working alongside the Board and general public in evaluating a detailed list of possible budget options.

Additionally, the R.A.C. notes that as part of the last round of fare and parking fee increases approved by the Board in December 2007, staff was directed to "study and report back to the Board of Directors a recommended fare policy that evaluates all aspects of fares, revenues and expenses including distance traveled, time of day, mode, capacity, elasticity and other variables that affect ridership, revenue and expenses." As part of that analysis, the Council requests that the Board fully consider and ask staff to evaluate the following fare and fee suggestions from a revenue and operational standpoint so that they can be discussed and considered in the context of any proposals for the FY2011 budget. Though we withhold judgment on any budgetary solutions at this time, we anticipate greater involvement once an analysis is complete and presented to the public as different alternatives for consideration. We suggest the Authority evaluate:

- Decreasing bus-to-rail and rail-to-bus transfer discounts, and either decreasing or eliminating the SmarTrip discount on Metrobus;
- Introducing a differential between the SmarTrip and paper farecard fare price on Metrorail;
- Adding a fare period on Metrorail during the weekday rush so that the fare structure includes three time-based tiers: reduced, regular, and peak;
- Simplifying the regular fare structure on Metrorail to reflect the three distance-based tiers used during reduced fare hours;
- Instituting an additional Metrobus fare period during the peak hour (during which the bus system is already operating at or near capacity) either system-wide or only on highridership bus lines;
- Adjusting the price of open and reserved parking spaces and reserved bike lockers to reduce congestion and wait lists and equalize demand with supply;
- Expanding the hours Metro charges for parking beyond the current schedule
- Creating more pass options for regular and/or occasional users as way to encourage overall ridership and thereby increase revenue. Options could include monthly passes, joint rail/bus passes, and additional short-term passes marketed to visitors.
- Increasing the age at which children accompanied by an adult are able to ride Metro for free, encouraging increased family/group use of Metro, especially at off-peak times when additional capacity exists.

Furthermore, this Council requests the Board and Authority seek out revenue and cost savings through other means, including:

- asking jurisdictions for increased subsidies as well as operational changes;
- increasing revenue from advertising and leasing space on unused Metro-owned land;
- closing low-traffic Metrorail station entrances during off-peak hours;
- ensuring capital purchases do not have higher than industry-standard operational costs.

We also strongly encourage the Board to include any proposed operational changes in the public hearing process. While including these changes as part of any public hearings may not be required, offering riders the opportunity to provide their feedback on such proposals will provide additional guidance for the Board as it makes decisions on Metro's final FY2011 budget. For instance, finding cost savings by cleaning trains less frequently may be unacceptable to riders, who would rather pay extra (or have other services cut) than have a dirtier train. Hearings are an opportunity for the Board to hear rider opinions on tradeoffs such as this.

This Council is acutely aware that many of the proposals suggested above rely on completing essential and long-delayed upgrades to the SmarTrip program. We feel strongly that technology limitations should not hinder options in the FY2011 budget debate, and request that both the Authority and the Board place a renewed focus on completing these upgrades in a timely manner.

In closing, the WMATA Riders' Advisory Council calls upon the Board to request from the General Manager a comprehensive analysis of options for FY2011 (collectively totaling more than the current budget gap), including but not limited to those listed here, that can then be fully evaluated and debated by the Board and riders during the public hearing process.

We do recognize and applaud the efforts of the Board and Authority to engage the public so early in the FY2011 budget process. We hope that meaningful outreach continues and that this Council's recommendations are taken into account when preparing the docket of possible cuts and fare hikes for presentation this winter to transit riders in the Washington D.C. region.

Sincerely,

Diana Zinkl, JD/MCP

Chair, WMATA Riders' Advisory Council